

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,488	08/25/2003	Kai-yu Tong	MCHK/131/US	2363
2543	7590 11/16/2006	•	EXAM	INER
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
	750 MAIN STREET SUITE 1400			PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORI	HARTFORD, CT 06103			
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/647,488	TONG, KAI-YU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second state of t	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 August 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ad/ar alastian rasuiramant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• , ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	mente have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority docur2. Certified copies of the priority docur		onlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	•				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ∙				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,488

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 3-10 are the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The recitation of the electrode locations seem to claim the body part of the human as well which is impermissible. If applicant were to change the phrase to "adapted to be located" the rejection under 35 USC 101 will be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2, 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner cannot find support in applicant's specification of the "extension" portion" of the signal. The examiner also cannot find in the specification where the recording member can be programmed to record at certain hours, days or dates. Instead the specification states that such may be specified for viewing.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,488

Art Unit: 3766

Thus it would appear that the device simply records all data and can later be retrieved for analysis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haugland et al US 2003/0144710 in view of Nelson et al USPN 5,586,557. The examiner relies upon those corresponding components identified in the office action mailed 2-23-2006 with the following comments directed to the added portions. The examiner considers the plateau region of the stimulation profiles in figures 14+ to include a and intial stimulation portion in the 1/4 of the plateau followed by and extension portion in the remaining 3/4 of the plateau duration. With regard to the "programmable recording", to the extent that any sensor recording meets the claim language, based upon the noted lack of support in the applicant's specification noted above, the Nelson reference would suggest recording that would also support the claim language.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haugland et al US 2003/0144710 in view of Haugland et al US 2003/0144710 in view of Nelson et al USPN 5,586,557 as applied to claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10 above,

and further in view of Sieracki et al US 2004/0143302. See office action of 2-23-2006 for explanation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-28-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner has offered explanation for the interpretation of how the amendments meet the claim language.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone

Art Unit: 3766

number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Med Biz ll

MWB

November 12, 2006